	Case 2:25-cv-00936-DC-JDP Document	t 12	Filed 07/14/25	Page 1 of 3	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	ZHANG HUAN,	Ca	ase No. 2:25-cv-09	936-DC-JDP (PS)	
12	Petitioner,				
13	v.		RDER SETTING I ONFERENCE	NITIAL SCHEDULING	
14	JIN BRENDAN YI,				
15	Respondent.				
16		_			
17	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, it is hereby ORDERED that:				
18	1. An initial scheduling conference is set for August 21, 2025, at 10:00 a.m. The				
19	conference will be conducted remotely via Zoom. ¹				
20	2. The parties may, but are not required to, file an amended status report by no later than				
21 22	fourteen days before the conference. If the parties elect to file an amended status report, it shall				
23	address the following:				
24	a. the factual and legal contentions set forth in the parties' pleadings, briefly summarized;				
25	b. possible joinder of additional parties;				
26	c. expected amendment of pleadings and, if applicable, a proposed deadline for				
27	7	<i>6</i> ~	, Tr,	1 1	
28	The Zoom invitation will be distributed.	ted one	e week prior to the	scheduling conference.	
		1	r-101 to the		

	Case 2:25-cv-00936-DC-JDP Document 12 Filed 07/14/25 Page 2 of 3				
1	such amendment;				
2	d. jurisdiction and venue;				
3	e. anticipated motions and their scheduling;				
4	f. a proposed discovery plan and its scheduling, including deadlines for				
5	exchanging initial disclosures and for disclosing expert witnesses;				
6	g. proposed cutoff dates for completing discovery and dispositive motions; ²				
7	h. any proposed changes to the limits on discovery imposed by the Federal Rules				
8	of Civil Procedure;				
9	i. whether the case is related to any other cases, including any bankruptcy cases;				
10	j. whether an early settlement conference should be scheduled at the initial				
11	scheduling conference;				
12	k. whether the parties will stipulate to the undersigned acting as the settlement				
13	judge and waive disqualification from so acting, or whether they prefer to have a different				
14	magistrate judge conduct a settlement; and				
15	1. any other matters that may add to the just and expeditious disposition of this				
16	matter.				
17	3. The parties are hereby notified that failure to obey federal or local rules, or any order				
18	of this court, "may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by				
19	statute or Rule or within the inherent power of the Court," including dismissal. E.D. Cal. L.R.				
20	110.				
21					
22					
23					
24					
25	² The parties are advised that Judge Peterson generally requires that: (1) expert disclosure				
2627	be completed before the close of fact discovery; (2) all motions to compel discovery be heard approximately thirty days before the close of discovery; and (3) all dispositive motions be heard within approximately ninety days of the discovery completion date. A final pretrial conference				

will be set after resolution of any dispositive motions or passage of the dispositive motion

deadline. A trial date will be determined at the pretrial conference.

28

²

4. The parties are reminded of their continuing duty to notify chambers immediately of any settlement or other disposition. See E.D. Cal. L.R. 160. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: July 14, 2025 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Document 12

Filed 07/14/25

Page 3 of 3

Case 2:25-cv-00936-DC-JDP